C.S.-1001/-

(1)
3

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 5164 /2005

PETITIONER

Ramchandra Jaiswal, aged about 44 years, son of Shri Kunwar Singh Jaiswal, Sales Man, Aadiwasi Seva Sahkari Junapara, Tah. Takhatpur, Distt. Bilaspur, Registration No. 2128, resident of Gayatri Ward Gayatri Ward, Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5313/05
Presented by C.D.Sharma
Dated 17/10/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Co-operative Society, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Registrar / Commissioner, Department of Cooperative Society, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED-COPY
17/10/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

3. Aadiwasi Seva Sahkari Maryadit, Junapara, Tah. Takhatpur, Regn. No. 2128, Distt. Bilaspur, through – Its Authorised Officer.

4. Sanjay Jaiswal, aged about 27 years, son of Shri Vasudev Jaiswal, Salesman, Adiwasi Seva Sahkari Junapara, Tah. Takhatpur, Regn No. 2128, Distt. Bilaspur.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(34)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP-5164/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-1-2006 Shri C.D. Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri A.S. Kachhawaha, Dy. Govt. Advocate, for the State. Heard on I.A. No.9076/2005 for amendment. The amendment is to the effect that during the pendency of the petition, a document (Annex.P/6) is sought to be produced and the averment is to be made in para 3 that suspension order has been revoked and the petitioner has joined on 21/7/2005. The application is allowed. Let the amendment be incorporated. Heard. The petition was filed on the ground that the petitioner was suspended illegally. The prayer was made to the effect that the petitioner be reinstated and further salary be paid to him. It is submitted that the complaint was made against the petitioner but after inquiry the charges have not been found to be proved and the charges have been dropped. The recommendation was made and the petitioner has been reinstated. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the question remains about the salary. Learned counsel for the State on the other hand submits that main grievance of the petitioner has been redressed and if any grievance is still pending, the same will be considered and decided by the authorities concerned. Having considered the facts and circumstances and the material on record and in view of the aforesaid finding, it is directed that the matter regarding payment of salary, etc. be decided by the authorities concerned as early as possible preferably within three months from the	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(35)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 5164/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
H/-	date of production of the copy of this order before them. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available at law including filing of the petition afresh. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Copy to the State counsel for compliance. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये

4.1.06

Received
Applicant
10/1/06